

Judicial Impact Fiscal Note

Bill Number: 2156 P S HB	Title: City and county fiscal T.O.	Agency: 055-Admin Office of the Courts
---------------------------------	---	---

Part I: Estimates

☐ No Fiscal Impact

Estimated Cash Receipts to:

Account	FY 2016	FY 2017	2015-17	2017-19	2019-21
Counties					
Cities					
Total \$					

Estimated Expenditures from:

Non-zero but indeterminate cost. Please see discussion.

The revenue and expenditure estimates on this page represent the most likely fiscal impact. Responsibility for expenditures may be subject to the provisions of RCW 43.135.060.

Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding instructions:

- ☐ If fiscal impact is greater than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete entire fiscal note form Parts I-V.
- ☒ If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Part I).
- ☐ Capital budget impact, complete Part IV.

Contact	Phone:	Date: 04/16/2015
Agency Preparation: Kitty Hjelm	Phone: 360-704-5528	Date: 04/16/2015
Agency Approval: Renee Lewis	Phone: 360-704-4142	Date: 04/16/2015
OFM Review:	Phone:	Date:

Request # 2156 PS HB-1

Part II: Narrative Explanation

II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact on the Courts

This bill addresses fiscal sustainability of cities and counties.

Sections with judicial impact:

Section 404(3) would allow persons to file a motion in superior court if they dispute the cost recovery fee an agency has charged for a public disclosure request.

II. B - Cash Receipts Impact

II. C - Expenditures

There is insufficient judicial information system data to estimate the number of motions that may be filed disputing public disclosure cost recovery fees. It is assumed that the cost to superior courts each year would be less than \$50,000 per year.

Part III: Expenditure Detail

Part IV: Capital Budget Impact